UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/736,690	12/17/2003	Javier B. Arellano	P24306	4131
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 11/01/2007 I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			PARKER, BRANDON	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/01/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/736,690	ARELLANO ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brandon Parker	2174			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	k	ith the correspondence address			
Period fo	• •		·			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a sign of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (8) MOI , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03.0</u>	<u>ctober 2007</u> .				
'	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	ix parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 13-17</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	Claim(s)· is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 13-17</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l4:				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
🗀	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in A	Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	received in this National Stage			
+ 6	application from the International Bureau	, , , ,	Langui va d			
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not	received.			
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗔 Intonú	Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of References Cited (P10-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948)	Paper No(	(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Informal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2174

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-5 and 13-17 remain pending in the application.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5, 13-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al (US Patent 5,564,005 hereinafter, "Weber") in view of Mitchell et al (US Patent No. 6, 166,732 hereinafter, "Mitchell").

- Weber teaches a method for dynamically creating and delivering
  interactive personalized content in an electronic environment, comprising:
  (correlates to user information/personalized Abstract lines 1-7), (storage medium/computer readable medium storing, Weber Claim 1), (computer program/code for executing Col. 9 lines 43-46).
- providing a narrative framework (time zone, Abstract); sequencing and editing the narrative framework, based upon a profile of a user (i.e. usage patterns, Col. 27 lines 28-32), to create a dynamically generated narrative (Abstract, Col 9 line 48);
- modifying (i.e. manipulating) the dynamically generated narrative (i.e. display objects), (Col. 27 lines 50-53) based upon a delivery context (Col.

Art Unit: 2174

16 lines 49-58); and rendering the modified narrative for presentation of a user (Weber Claim 11, Abstract);

- Weber does not explicitly show providing a narrative framework which comprises content elements, each content element comprising a plurality of types of representations having different media characteristics, and the content elements facilitating modification based upon a delivery content but does provides a system comprised of a time zone (i.e. dynamically generated narrative) into which the system user may enter information.
- Kumar discloses personalizing rich media presentations based on user response to the presentation (Abstract, title). Furthermore, Kumar discloses delivering personalized media presentations and a delivery logic rendering logic for dynamically changing (i.e. modifying) the rendered presentations and hypermedia descriptions based on said one of the selection events (Kumar Claim 14). Note: Kumar describes the media presentations as images, animated images, video images and audio (i.e. a plurality of types of representations having different media characteristics), (Col. 1 lines 20-35)
- It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention
  to combine the modified media types as taught by Kumar with the
  narrative framework system of Weber to efficiently and effectively
  customize and personalize distributed media.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 13 is similar in scope to claim 1 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 2

 Weber teaches a method comprising updating (i.e. modifications to) the user profile based on a user interaction history (i.e. recalling memories).

(Col 4 lines 40-44).

Claim 14 is similar in scope to claim 2 and is therefore rejected under similar

rationale.

With respect to claim 3

Weber teaches a method in which the user profile is created by gathering

data from the user, analyzing a history of the user, monitoring data related

to the user, and detecting patterns and trends of the user. (recalling

memories/history, pattern, trends Col 4 40-44, compare/analyzing,

determining/detecting Col 9 lines 29-32).

Claim 15 is similar in scope to claim 3 and is therefore rejected under similar

rationale.

With respect to claim 4

Weber teaches a method in which the delivery context comprises a

display area (Col 15 lines 8-11).

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 16 is similar in scope to claim 4 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 5

 Weber teaches a method in which the delivery context comprises a network connection (Col 15 lines 50-56).

Claim 17 is similar in scope to claim 5 and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

With respect to claim 6

Weber teaches a method in which the narrative framework further
comprises content elements, each content element comprising a plurality
of types of representations having different media characteristics,
facilitating modification based upon delivery context
(manipulation/modification, pixels, symbols, numbers/media
characteristics Col 9 19-26).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 08/31/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 and 13-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2174

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

US Patent 6201948 discloses an agent based instruction system and method.

US Patent 6166732 discloses a distributed object oriented multi-user domain with multimedia presentations,

US Patent 6055542 discloses a system and method for displaying the contents of a web page based on a user's interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandon Parker whose telephone number is 571-270-1302. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday 7:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached 571-272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-2302.

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Brandon Parker BP Patent Examiner Art Unit 2174 10/25/2007 /Steven P. Sax/ Steven P. Sax